

Last time:

- Grad Descent
- Convergence in a simple cvx setting

Today: More structure

- Convergence Rates of GD for:
 - strongly convex fns
 - smooth
 - nonconvex smooth
- What do these mean for practical setups.

Reminder:

str. convexity: $f(x)$ is a str-cvx
if $f(x) - \frac{\mu}{2}\|x\|^2$ is cvx

Lm: If $f(\cdot)$ is λ -str convex and β -smooth. Then:

$$(\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y))^T (x - y) \geq \frac{\lambda\beta}{\lambda + \beta} \|x - y\|^2 + \frac{1}{\beta + \lambda} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2$$

Cor: $\langle \nabla f(x), x - x^* \rangle \geq c \|x - x^*\|^2 + c' \|\nabla f(x)\|^2$
 (Strong correlation towards opt)
 "attraction"



Theorem:

Let f be β -smooth and λ -strongly convex. The Grad. Descent

with $\gamma = \frac{2}{\lambda + \beta}$ obtains

$$\|x_t - x^*\|^2 \leq e^{-2t/k} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2$$

where $k = \beta/\lambda$

cond number:
 high  vs.  small

"stretch of function"

Proof:

$$\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|^2 = \|x_k - \gamma \nabla f(x_k) - x^*\|^2$$

$$\Delta_{k+1} = \Delta_k - 2\gamma \langle \nabla f(x_k), x_k - x^* \rangle + \gamma^2 \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2$$

apply co-coercivity

$$\leq \Delta_k - 2\gamma \left(\frac{2\beta}{\lambda + \beta} \|x_k - x^*\|^2 + \frac{1}{\beta + \lambda} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \right) + \gamma^2 \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2$$

$$\gamma = \frac{2}{\lambda + \beta}$$

$$= \|x_k - x^*\|^2 - \frac{4\beta}{(\lambda + \beta)^2} \|x_k - x^*\|^2$$

$$+ \left[\frac{4}{(\lambda + \beta)^2} - \frac{4}{(\lambda + \beta)^2} \right] \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{4\beta}{(\lambda + \beta)^2} \right) \|x_k - x^*\|^2$$

$$= \left(\frac{\lambda^2 + 2\beta + \beta^2 - 4\beta}{(\lambda + \beta)^2} \right) \|x_k - x^*\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\frac{\lambda - \beta}{\lambda + \beta} \right)^2 \|x_+ - x^*\|^2 = \left(\frac{1 - \beta/\lambda}{1 + \beta/\lambda} \right)^2 \|x_+ - x^*\|^2 \\
&= \left(\frac{k-1}{k+1} \right)^2 \|x_+ - x^*\|^2 = \left(\frac{k-1}{k+1} \right)^{2 \cdot t} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \\
&= e^{2t \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2 \leq e^{-2t/k} \|x_0 - x^*\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

□

Comparison of Conv. Rates:

<u>L-Lip:</u>	$\frac{R \cdot L}{\sqrt{T}}$	} Structure helps us analyze convergence!
λ -str. cvx + β -smooth	$\beta R e^{-2\frac{\lambda}{\beta} T}$	
<u>β-Smooth:</u>	$\frac{\beta \cdot R^2}{T}$	} Remark: Not always tight.
Str-cvx + <u>L-Lip</u>	$\frac{L^2}{2 \cdot T}$	

Q: What do these "constants" look like for some real problems?

Computational Complexity of GD:

- Let one ∇f eval be our unit of comp. cost.
- Goal: Measure the total cost wrt $\nabla f(x)$ evals.
- Total cost: $O([\# \nabla f \text{ evals}] \cdot [\# \text{iter}(\epsilon)])$

Let's see an example:

Log. reg. + regularization

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + e^{-y_i \langle x_i, w \rangle}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2$$

- Reminder:
- $\log(1 + e^x)$ is 1-Lip
 - $x^T w + b$ is $\|x\|$ -Lip
 - $g(x^T w + b)$ is $\beta \|x\|^2$ -smooth
- $g_1(g_2(x))$ is $L_{g_1} L_{g_2}$ -Lip

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_i \log(1 + e^{-y_i \langle x_i, w \rangle})$$

is $\bullet \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \|x_i\| - \text{Lip} \quad (L)$

$\bullet \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \frac{1}{4} \|x_i\|^2 - \text{Smooth} \quad (\beta_1)$

$\frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2$ \bullet is λ str. cvx

\bullet not Lip!

\bullet 2-Smooth (β_2)

Asm Let $\|x_i\|_2 = o(d)$, $\|w^*\| = o(d)$

Then, $R = \|w_0 - w^*\| = o(\sqrt{d})$, $L = o(\sqrt{d})$

$\beta = o(d)$, $\lambda = o(1)$

Rates: \bullet If $\lambda = 0$, $\frac{\beta R^2}{T} = \frac{d^2}{T}$

\bullet If $\lambda \neq 0$, $\beta R^2 e^{-\frac{2+\lambda}{\beta}} = d^2 e^{-c \frac{T}{d}}$

For ε - error $\Rightarrow T = O\left(\frac{d^2}{\varepsilon}\right)$ [$\lambda=0$]
 or if $\lambda \neq 0$ $T = O\left(d \log\left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$

Q: Overall complexity?

Time to compute $\nabla f(x)$ is proportional to computing $\langle x_i, w \rangle \forall i$, i.e., $O(n \cdot d)$.

Cor. For $\lambda = O(1)$ and $B = O(d)$

GD takes $O(nd^2 \log d/\varepsilon)$ on

logistic regression.

Cost / iteration: $O(nd)$ it requires 1 pass over the data!

Too expensive! Can we make this $O(d)$?

Answer: S G.D. Next time!