### A Primer on SGD

## ECE826 Lecture 7:

## Contents

- Complexity of GD
- Intro to SGD, and convergence guarantees
- Comparisons between SGD to GD
- Towards rates for nonconvex functions

# Minimizing the Empirical Risk • The empirical cost function that we have access to $\min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \left( R_{S}[h] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(h(x_{i}); y_{i}) \right)$

how fast?

• The answer must depend on: 1) *n*, the sample size 2)  $\mathcal{H}$ , the hypothesis class and loss function 3)  $\mathcal{D}$ , the data distribution 4) the optimization algorithm that outputs our classifier

#### • <u>Question</u>: Can we approximate the solution to this minimization? If so



### Last time: GD's Convergence Rates



The structure of a function can help in improving computational complexity. 

| Convergence Rate            |
|-----------------------------|
| $\frac{RL}{\sqrt{T}}$       |
| $\frac{R^2\beta}{T}$        |
| $\frac{L^2}{\lambda T}$     |
| $R^2 e^{-\frac{T}{\kappa}}$ |

However, we should be cautious that the bounds of complexity are not always tight.

# How expensive is GD in practice?



Gradient Descent Method:

Run the following for  $T_{\epsilon}$  steps

 $w_{k+1} = w_k - \gamma \nabla f(w_k)$ 

- Gradient Descent Method:
- Run the following for  $T_{\epsilon}$  steps

- unit if cost = number of  $\nabla f(w)$  computations  $\bullet$
- total cost =  $O(T_{\epsilon} \cdot \text{cost}(\nabla f))$  $\bullet$

 $w_{k+1} = w_k - \gamma \nabla f(w_k)$ 

- Gradient Descent Method:
- Run the following for  $T_{\epsilon}$  steps

- unit if cost = number of  $\nabla f(w)$  computations lacksquare
- total cost =  $O(T_{\epsilon} \cdot \operatorname{cost}(\nabla f))$  $\bullet$
- Let's see an example: logistic regression

 $w_{k+1} = w_k - \gamma \nabla f(w_k)$ 

#### Example:

# A f(w) is the logistic loss across that is both $\{x_1, f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=$

$$\{1, \dots, x_n\} \text{ plus a regularizer} \\ \left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2$$

#### Example:

#### A f(w) is the logistic loss across that is both $\{x_1, x_2\}$ $f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{i=$

#### A few facts:

 $log(1 + e^x)$  is 1-Lipschitz and 1/4-smooth  $\langle x, w \rangle$  is ||x||-Lipschitz and  $||x||^2$ -smooth

$$(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||^2$$

#### Example:

#### A f(w) is the logistic loss across that is both $\{x_1, x_2\}$ $f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{n} \log\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$

#### A few facts:

- $log(1 + e^x)$  is 1-Lipschitz and 1/4-smooth  $\langle x, w \rangle$  is ||x||-Lipschitz and  $||x||^2$ -smooth
- $g_1(g_2(x))$  is an  $L_1 \cdot L_2$ -Lipschitz function
- $g_1(x) + g_2(x)$  is an  $(L_1 + L_2)$ -Lipschitz function and a  $(\beta_1 + \beta_2)$ -smooth function

$$(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||^2$$

#### Example:

A f(w) is the logistic loss across that is both  $\{x_1, x_2\}$  $f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{n} \log\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ 

#### A few facts:

- $log(1 + e^x)$  is 1-Lipschitz and 1/4-smooth  $\langle x, w \rangle$  is ||x||-Lipschitz and  $||x||^2$ -smooth
- $g_1(g_2(x))$  is an  $L_1 \cdot L_2$ -Lipschitz function
- $g_1(x) + g_2(x)$  is an  $(L_1 + L_2)$ -Lipschitz function and a  $(\beta_1 + \beta_2)$ -smooth function
- $g(\langle x, w \rangle + b)$  is a  $(\beta \cdot ||x||^2)$ -smooth function

$$(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||^2$$

#### Example:

A f(w) is the logistic loss across that is both  $\{x_1, x_2\}$  $f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n=1}^{n} \log\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ 

#### A few facts:

- $log(1 + e^x)$  is 1-Lipschitz and 1/4-smooth  $\langle x, w \rangle$  is ||x||-Lipschitz and  $||x||^2$ -smooth
- $g_1(g_2(x))$  is an  $L_1 \cdot L_2$ -Lipschitz function
- $g_1(x) + g_2(x)$  is an  $(L_1 + L_2)$ -Lipschitz function and a  $(\beta_1 + \beta_2)$ -smooth function
- $g(\langle x, w \rangle + b)$  is a  $(\beta \cdot ||x||^2)$ -smooth function

What properties does the regularized log. loss ERM have?

$$(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||^2$$

Proposition:

# The function $f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||^2$ is

Proposition:

The function 
$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{1}{2}$$
  
•  $\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \|x_i\| + \lambda \cdot \max_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \|w\|\right)$ -Lipschitz

 $\frac{\pi}{2} \|w\|^2$  is

Proposition:

The function 
$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{2}{2}$$
  
•  $\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} ||x_i|| + \lambda \cdot \max_{w \in \mathcal{W}} ||w||\right)$ -Lipschitz  
•  $\left(\frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i} ||x_i||^2 + \lambda\right)$ -smooth and

 $\frac{\pi}{2} \|w\|^2$  is

Proposition:

The function 
$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{1}{2}$$
  
•  $\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} ||x_i|| + \lambda \cdot \max_{w \in \mathscr{W}} ||w||\right)$ -Lipschitz  
•  $\left(\frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i} ||x_i||^2 + \lambda\right)$ -smooth and  
•  $\lambda$ -strongly convex

 $\frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2$  is

Proposition:

The function 
$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{1}{2}$$
  
•  $\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} ||x_i|| + \lambda \cdot \max_{w \in \mathscr{W}} ||w||\right)$ -Lipschitz  
•  $\left(\frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i} ||x_i||^2 + \lambda\right)$ -smooth and  
•  $\lambda$ -strongly convex

 $\frac{\pi}{2} \|w\|^2$  is



Proposition:

The function 
$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left( 1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle} \right) + \frac{1}{2}$$
  
•  $\left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} ||x_i|| + \lambda \cdot \max_{w \in \mathcal{W}} ||w|| \right)$ -Lipschitz  
•  $\left( \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i} ||x_i||^2 + \lambda \right)$ -smooth and  
•  $\lambda$ -strongly convex

Iterations for GD to rea  
$$T_{c} = O\left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda}\right)$$

 $\frac{\pi}{2} \|w\|^2$  is

Let's make some assumptions:  $||x_i||, ||w|| = O(\sqrt{d})$  $\lambda = O(1)$ 

ach error  $\epsilon$ 

 $\log(\|w_0 - w^*\|/\epsilon)$ 



Proposition:

'O'

The function 
$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||^2$$
 is  
•  $\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} ||x_i|| + \lambda \cdot \max_{w \in \mathscr{W}} ||w||\right)$ -Lipschitz  
•  $\left(\frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i} ||x_i||^2 + \lambda\right)$ -smooth and  
•  $\lambda$ -strongly convex

Iterations for GD to reach error 
$$\epsilon$$
  

$$T_{\epsilon} = O\left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda}\log(\|w_{0} - w^{*}\|/\epsilon)\right)$$

$$= O\left(d\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$



#### Proposition:

# For loss functions function written as $f(w) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(\langle w, x_i \rangle)$ computing $\nabla f(w)$ takes time $O(\operatorname{nnz}(X)) = O(nd)$



#### Proposition:

#### For loss functions function written as $f(w) = \sum \ell(\langle w, x_i \rangle)$ computing $\nabla f(w)$ takes time $O(\operatorname{nnz}(X)) = O(nd)$ i=1

Proof sketch: the gradient with respect to the model for each loss is equal to  $\nabla_{w} \ell(\langle w, x_i \rangle) = \ell'(\langle w, x_i \rangle) \cdot x_i.$ 



#### Proposition:

#### For loss functions function written as $f(w) = \sum \ell(\langle w, x_i \rangle)$ computing $\nabla f(w)$ takes time $O(\operatorname{nnz}(X)) = O(nd)$ i=1

- Proof sketch: the gradient with respect to the model for each loss is equal to  $\nabla_{w} \mathscr{C}(\langle w, x_i \rangle) = \mathscr{C}'(\langle w, x_i \rangle) \cdot x_i$
- The cost of  $\ell'(\langle w, x_i \rangle)$  is proportional to the cost of  $\langle w, x_i \rangle$



#### Proposition:

#### For loss functions function written as $f(w) = \sum \ell(\langle w, x_i \rangle)$ computing $\nabla f(w)$ takes time $O(\operatorname{nnz}(X)) = O(nd)$ i=1

- Proof sketch: the gradient with respect to the model for each loss is equal to  $\nabla_{w} \mathscr{C}(\langle w, x_i \rangle) = \mathscr{C}'(\langle w, x_i \rangle) \cdot x_i$
- The cost of  $\ell'(\langle w, x_i \rangle)$  is proportional to the cost of  $\langle w, x_i \rangle$

One "full-batch" gradient requires a full pass over the data, and costs linear in the size of the data set





Proposition:

The function 
$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2}$$
  
 $\cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} ||x_i|| + \lambda \cdot \max_{w \in \mathscr{W}} ||w||\right)$ -Lipschitz  
 $\cdot \left(\frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i} ||x_i||^2 + \lambda\right)$ -smooth and  
 $\cdot \lambda$ -strongly convex

Iterations for GD to reach error 
$$\epsilon$$
  

$$T_{\epsilon} = O\left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda}\log(\|w_0 - w^*\|/\epsilon)\right)$$

$$= O\left(d\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

 $\frac{\pi}{2} \|w\|^2$  is

Let's make some assumptions:  $||x_i||, ||w|| = O(\sqrt{d})$  $\lambda = O(1)$ 



Proposition:

The function 
$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2}$$
  
 $\cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} ||x_i|| + \lambda \cdot \max_{w \in \mathcal{W}} ||w||\right)$ -Lipschitz  
 $\cdot \left(\frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i} ||x_i||^2 + \lambda\right)$ -smooth and  
 $\cdot \lambda$ -strongly convex

Iterations for GD to reach error 
$$\epsilon$$
  

$$T_{\epsilon} = O\left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda}\log(\|w_0 - w^*\|/\epsilon)\right)$$

$$= O\left(d\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

 $\frac{\pi}{2} \|w\|^2$  is

Let's make some assumptions:  $\|x_i\|, \|w\| = O\left(\sqrt{d}\right)$  $\lambda = O(1)$ 

Total computational cost  $O(T_{\epsilon} \cdot \text{cost}(\nabla f)) = O\left(\operatorname{nnz}(X) \cdot d\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$ 





Proposition:

The function 
$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2}$$
  
 $\cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} ||x_i|| + \lambda \cdot \max_{w \in \mathscr{W}} ||w||\right)$ -Lipschitz  
 $\cdot \left(\frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i} ||x_i||^2 + \lambda\right)$ -smooth and  
 $\cdot \lambda$ -strongly convex

Iterations for GD to reach error 
$$\epsilon$$
  

$$T_{\epsilon} = O\left(\frac{\beta}{\lambda}\log(\|w_0 - w^*\|/\epsilon)\right)$$

$$= O\left(d\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

 $\frac{\pi}{2} \|w\|^2$  is

Let's make some assumptions:  $||x_i||, ||w|| = O(\sqrt{d})$  $\lambda = O(1)$ 

Total computational cost  

$$O(T_{\epsilon} \cdot \text{cost}(\nabla f)) = O\left(\text{nnz}(X) \cdot d\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

$$= O\left(nd^{2}\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$





Proposition: The function  $f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||^2$  is

• 
$$\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i}\|x_{i}\| + \lambda \cdot \max_{w \in \mathcal{W}}\|w\|\right)$$
-Lipschitz  
•  $\left(\frac{1}{4n}\sum_{i}\|x_{i}\|^{2} + \lambda\right)$ -smooth and  
•  $\lambda$ -strongly convex

#### In this case GD has a cost that is linear in the number of data points, but quadratic with regards to input dimension = too large!



#### Gradient Descent Method:

 $w_{k+1} = w_k - \gamma \nabla f(w_k)$ 

Gradient Descent Method:

Note: we haven't used the fact that  $f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(w)$ 

 $w_{k+1} = w_k - \gamma \nabla f(w_k)$ 

Gradient Descent Method:

Note: we haven't used the fact that  $f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(w)$ 

Idea ('50s, '60s [Robbins, Monro], [Widrow, Hoff]):  $\bullet$ 

 $w_{k+1} = w_k - \gamma \nabla f(w_k)$ 



instead of computing  $\nabla f(w)$  we can sample one  $f_i$  at random and compute its gradient

Gradient Descent Method:

- Note: we haven't used the fact that f(w) =
- Idea ('50s, '60s [Robbins, Monro], [Widrow, Hoff]):
- Why does that make sense? In "expectation" it's the same algorithm, i.e.,

 $w_{k+1} = w_k - \gamma \nabla f(w_k)$ 

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(w)$$

instead of computing  $\nabla f(w)$  we can sample one  $f_i$  at random and compute its gradient

 $E_{i \sim \text{uniform}} \nabla f_i = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{n} \nabla f_i = \nabla f(w)$ 

Gradient Descent Method:

- Note: we haven't used the fact that f(w) =
- Idea ('50s, '60s [Robbins, Monro], [Widrow, Hoff]):  $\bullet$
- Why does that make sense? In "expectation" it's the same algorithm, i.e.,  $\bullet$

$$w_{k+1} = w_k - \gamma \nabla f_{i_k}(w_k)$$

 $w_{k+1} = w_k - \gamma \nabla f(w_k)$ 

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(w)$$

instead of computing  $\nabla f(w)$  we can sample one  $f_i$  at random and compute its gradient

 $E_{i \sim \text{uniform}} \nabla f_i = \sum_{i \sim n} \frac{1}{n} \nabla f_i = \nabla f(w)$ 



Gradient Descent Method:

- Note: we haven't used the fact that f(w) =
- Idea ('50s, '60s [Robbins, Monro], [Widrow, Hoff]):  $\bullet$
- Why does that make sense? In "expectation" it's the same algorithm, i.e.,  $\bullet$

$$w_{k+1} = w_k - \gamma \nabla f_{i_k}(w_k)$$



 $w_{k+1} = w_k - \gamma \nabla f(w_k)$ 

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(w)$$

instead of computing  $\nabla f(w)$  we can sample one  $f_i$  at random and compute its gradient

 $E_{i \sim \text{uniform}} \nabla f_i = \sum_{i} \frac{1}{n} \nabla f_i = \nabla f(w)$ 

The Uber-Algorithm



#### Different names and flavors Optimization / Statistics / EE Perceptron Incremental Gradient Back Propagation (NNs) Oja's iteration (PCA) LMS Filter Has been around for a while, for good reasons: Robust to noise Simple to implement Near-optimal learning performance \* Small computational foot-print

Theorem

Let f(w) be a  $\lambda$ -strongly convex and function with L-bounded stoch gradients (i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}_i \|\nabla f_i(w)\| \leq L$ ). Then, the iterates of SGD with step-size  $\gamma$  satisfy

 $\mathbb{E}\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le (1)$ 

$$1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \gamma \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$



Theorem

Let f(w) be a  $\lambda$ -strongly convex and function with  $L^{2}$  iterates of SGD with step-size  $\gamma$  satisfy

 $\mathbb{E}\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le (1)$ 

$$\mathbb{E} \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 = \mathbb{E} \|w_k - \gamma \nabla f_{s_k} - w^*\|^2$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E} \|w_k - x^*\|^2 - 2\gamma \mathbb{E} \left\langle \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k), w_k - x^* \right\rangle + \gamma^2 \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f_{s_k}(w_k)\|^2$ 

$$1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \gamma \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$



Theorem

Let f(w) be a  $\lambda$ -strongly convex and function with  $L^{2}$  iterates of SGD with step-size  $\gamma$  satisfy

 $\mathbb{E}\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le (1)$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \|w_k - \gamma \nabla f_{s_k} - w^*\|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \|w_k - x^*\|^2 - 2\gamma \mathbb{E} \left\langle \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k), w_k - x^* \right\rangle + \gamma^2 \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f_{s_k}(w_k)\|^2 \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\gamma \mathbb{E} \left\langle \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k), w_k - w^* \right\rangle + \gamma^2 L^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma \cdot m) \mathbb{E} \|w_k - x^*\|^2 + \gamma^2 M^2 \end{split}$$

$$1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \gamma \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$



Theorem

iterates of SGD with step-size  $\gamma$  satisfy

 $\mathbb{E}\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le (1)$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \|w_k - \gamma \nabla f_{s_k} - w^*\|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \|w_k - x^*\|^2 - 2\gamma \mathbb{E} \left\langle \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k), w_k - x^* \right\rangle + \gamma^2 \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f_{s_k}(w_k)\|^2 \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\gamma \mathbb{E} \left\langle \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k), w_k - w^* \right\rangle + \gamma^2 L^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma \cdot m) \mathbb{E} \|w_k - x^*\|^2 + \gamma^2 M^2 \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma \cdot m)^k \mathbb{E} \|w_0 - x^*\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k (1 - \gamma \lambda)^i \gamma^2 M^2 \end{split}$$

$$1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \gamma \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$



Theorem

iterates of SGD with step-size  $\gamma$  satisfy

 $\mathbb{E}\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le (1)$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \|w_k - \gamma \nabla f_{s_k} - w^*\|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \|w_k - x^*\|^2 - 2\gamma \mathbb{E} \left\langle \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k), w_k - x^* \right\rangle + \gamma^2 \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f_{s_k}(w_k)\|^2 \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\gamma \mathbb{E} \left\langle \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k), w_k - w^* \right\rangle + \gamma^2 L^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma \cdot m) \mathbb{E} \|w_k - x^*\|^2 + \gamma^2 M^2 \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma \cdot m)^k \mathbb{E} \|w_0 - x^*\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k (1 - \gamma \lambda)^i \gamma^2 M^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma \cdot m)^k \mathbb{E} \|w_0 - x^*\|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma \lambda} \gamma^2 M^2 \end{split}$$

$$1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \gamma \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$



Theorem

Let f(w) be a  $\lambda$ -strongly convex and function with L-bounded stoch gradients (i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}_i \|\nabla f_i(w)\| \leq L$ ). Then, the iterates of SGD with step-size  $\gamma$  satisfy

 $\mathbb{E}\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le (1)$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 &= \mathbb{E} \|w_k - \gamma \nabla f_{s_k} - w^*\|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E} \|w_k - x^*\|^2 - 2\gamma \mathbb{E} \left\langle \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k), w_k - x^* \right\rangle + \gamma^2 \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f_{s_k}(w_k)\|^2 \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \|w_k - w^*\|^2 - 2\gamma \mathbb{E} \left\langle \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k), w_k - w^* \right\rangle + \gamma^2 L^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma \cdot m) \mathbb{E} \|w_k - x^*\|^2 + \gamma^2 M^2 \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma \cdot m)^k \mathbb{E} \|w_0 - x^*\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k (1 - \gamma \lambda)^i \gamma^2 M^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma \cdot m)^k \mathbb{E} \|w_0 - x^*\|^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma \lambda} \gamma^2 M^2 \end{split}$$

$$1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \gamma \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$

Let's interpret these rates



Theorem

iterates of SGD with step-size  $\gamma$  satisfy

 $\mathbb{E}\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le (1)$ 

Let us set the stepwise to  $\gamma = 0.1/\lambda$ , then  $\bullet$  $\mathbb{E} \| w_{k+1} - w^* \|^2$ 

$$1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \gamma \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$

$$L^2 \le 0.9^k R^2 + 0.1 \frac{L^2}{\lambda^2}$$



Theorem

iterates of SGD with step-size  $\gamma$  satisfy

 $\mathbb{E}\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le (1)$ 

Let us set the stepwise to  $\gamma = 0.1/\lambda$ , then  $\mathbb{E} \| w_{k+1} - w^* \|^2$ • For any  $\epsilon \ge 2 \cdot 0.1 \frac{L^2}{\lambda^2}$ , we need  $k \approx 42$ 

$$1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \gamma \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$

$$2^{2} \leq 0.9^{k}R^{2} + 0.1\frac{L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}$$
  
 $2 \cdot \log \frac{R^{2}}{\epsilon}$  iterations.



Theorem

iterates of SGD with step-size  $\gamma$  satisfy

 $\mathbb{E}\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le (2)$ 

Let us set the stepwise to  $\gamma = 0.1/\lambda$ , then  $\mathbb{E} \| w_{k+1} - w^* \|^2$ • For any  $\epsilon \geq 2 \cdot 0.1 \frac{L^2}{\lambda^2}$ , we need  $k \approx 42$ 

SGD converges exponentially fast to certain "error floor"

$$1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \gamma \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$

$$2^{2} \leq 0.9^{k}R^{2} + 0.1 \frac{L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}$$
  
 $2 \cdot \log \frac{R^{2}}{\epsilon}$  iterations.



Theorem

Let f(w) be a  $\lambda$ -strongly convex and function with L-bounded stoch gradients (i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}_i \|\nabla f_i(w)\| \leq L$ ). Then, the iterates of SGD with step-size  $\gamma$  satisfy

 $\mathbb{E}\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le (1)$ 

We can go beyond the error floor:  $\mathbb{E}[1]$ 

$$1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \gamma \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$

$$\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le (1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \gamma \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$



Theorem

Let f(w) be a  $\lambda$ -strongly convex and function with L-bounded stoch gradients (i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}_i \| \nabla f_i(w) \| \leq L$ ). Then, the iterates of SGD with step-size  $\gamma$  satisfy

 $\mathbb{E}\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le (1)$ 

• We can go beyond the error floor:  $\mathbb{E}[$ 

$$1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \gamma \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$

$$w_{k+1} - w^* \|^2 \le (1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$
  
 $\epsilon/2$ 





Theorem

Let f(w) be a  $\lambda$ -strongly convex and function with L-bounded stoch gradients (i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}_i \| \nabla f_i(w) \| \leq L$ ). Then, the iterates of SGD with step-size  $\gamma$  satisfy

 $\mathbb{E}\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \le (1)$ 

#### • We can go beyond the error floor: $\mathbb{E}[$

• Observe that for 
$$\gamma = \epsilon \frac{\lambda}{2L^2}$$
 we get to  
 $k = 2\left(\frac{L}{\lambda}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cdot \log\left(\frac{2R}{\epsilon}\right)$  iterati

$$1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \gamma \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$

$$w_{k+1} - w^* \|^2 \le (1 - \gamma \lambda)^k \|w_0 - w^*\|^2 + \frac{L^2}{\lambda}$$
  
\varepsilon /2 \varepsilon \vert\_2

any arbitrary error within

ons





Corollary:

SGD with constant stepwise achieves exponential convergence till error an error floor of  $\mathbb{E}\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \ge \epsilon \cdot O\left(\frac{L^2}{\lambda^2}\right) \text{ and after that achieves a rate of } O(1/T) \text{ for arbitrary errors.}$ 

Corollary:

SGD with constant stepwise achieves exponential convergence till error an error floor of  $\mathbb{E}\|w_{k+1} - w^*\|^2 \ge \epsilon \cdot O\left(\frac{L^2}{\lambda^2}\right) \text{ and after that achieves a rate of } O(1/T) \text{ for arbitrary errors.}$ 



How does SGD compare with GD?

#### Proposition:

The function 
$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2}$$
  
 $\cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} ||x_i|| + \lambda \cdot \max_{w \in \mathscr{W}} ||w||\right)$ -Lipschitz  
 $\cdot \left(\frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i} ||x_i||^2 + \lambda\right)$ -smooth and  
 $\cdot \lambda$ -strongly convex

 $\frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2$  is



#### Proposition:

The function 
$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{1}{2}$$
  
 $\cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} ||x_i|| + \lambda \cdot \max_{w \in \mathcal{W}} ||w||\right)$ -Lipschitz  
 $\cdot \left(\frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i} ||x_i||^2 + \lambda\right)$ -smooth and  
 $\cdot \lambda$ -strongly convex

Total GD computational cost  

$$O\left(T_{\epsilon}^{\text{GD}} \cdot \text{cost}(\nabla f)\right) = O\left(\text{nnz}(X) \cdot d\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

$$= O\left(nd^2\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

 $\frac{\pi}{2} \|w\|^2$  is





#### Proposition:

The function 
$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||^2$$
 is  
 $\cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} ||x_i|| + \lambda \cdot \max_{w \in \mathcal{W}} ||w||\right)$ -Lipschitz  
 $\cdot \left(\frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i} ||x_i||^2 + \lambda\right)$ -smooth and  
 $\cdot \lambda$ -strongly convex

Total GD computational cost  

$$O\left(T_{\epsilon}^{\text{GD}} \cdot \text{cost}(\nabla f)\right) = O\left(\text{nnz}(X) \cdot d\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

$$= O\left(nd^2\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

Total SGD computational cost  

$$O\left(T_{\epsilon}^{\text{SGD}} \cdot \mathbb{E}\text{cost}(\nabla f_{i})\right) = O\left(\frac{\operatorname{nnz}(X)}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}\log\left(\frac{R}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

$$= O\left(\frac{d^{2}}{\epsilon}\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$





#### Proposition:

The function 
$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log\left(1 + e^{-y_i \langle w, x_i \rangle}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||^2$$
 is  
 $\cdot \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} ||x_i|| + \lambda \cdot \max_{w \in \mathcal{W}} ||w||\right)$ -Lipschitz  
 $\cdot \left(\frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i} ||x_i||^2 + \lambda\right)$ -smooth and  
 $\cdot \lambda$ -strongly convex

Total GD computational cost  

$$O\left(T_{\epsilon}^{\text{GD}} \cdot \text{cost}(\nabla f)\right) = O\left(\text{nnz}(X) \cdot d\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

$$= O\left(nd^2\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

Total SGD computational cost  

$$O\left(T_{\epsilon}^{\text{SGD}} \cdot \mathbb{E}\text{cost}(\nabla f_{i})\right) = O\left(\frac{\operatorname{nnz}(X)}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} \log\left(\frac{R}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$
Note, cost doesn't  
depend on n!  

$$= O\left(\frac{d^{2}}{\epsilon} \log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$





Total GD computational cost  

$$O\left(T_{\epsilon}^{\text{GD}} \cdot \text{cost}(\nabla f)\right) = O\left(\text{nnz}(X) \cdot d\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

$$= O\left(nd^2\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

Total GD computational cost  

$$O\left(T_{\epsilon}^{\text{SGD}} \cdot \mathbb{E}\text{cost}(\nabla f_{i})\right) = O\left(\frac{\operatorname{nnz}(X)}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}\log\left(\frac{H}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

$$= O\left(\frac{d^{2}}{\epsilon}\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$



Total GD computational cost  

$$O\left(T_{\epsilon}^{\text{GD}} \cdot \text{cost}(\nabla f)\right) = O\left(\text{nnz}(X) \cdot d\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

$$= O\left(nd^2\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

SGD is faster than GD (for regularized logistic regression and in the worst case) as long as  $nd^2 \log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right) \ge \frac{d^2}{\epsilon} \log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)$  $\bullet$ 

Total GD computational cost  

$$O\left(T_{\epsilon}^{\text{SGD}} \cdot \mathbb{E}\text{cost}(\nabla f_{i})\right) = O\left(\frac{\operatorname{nnz}(X)}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}\log\left(\frac{H}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

$$= O\left(\frac{d^{2}}{\epsilon}\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$



Total GD computational cost  

$$O\left(T_{\epsilon}^{\text{GD}} \cdot \text{cost}(\nabla f)\right) = O\left(\text{nnz}(X) \cdot d\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

$$= O\left(nd^2\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

SGD is faster than GD (for regularized logistic regression and in the worst case) as long as  $nd^2 \log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right) \ge \frac{d^2}{\epsilon} \log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)$ 

Total GD computational cost  

$$O\left(T_{\epsilon}^{\text{SGD}} \cdot \mathbb{E}\text{cost}(\nabla f_{i})\right) = O\left(\frac{\operatorname{nnz}(X)}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}\log\left(\frac{H}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

$$= O\left(\frac{d^{2}}{\epsilon}\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$



Total GD computational cost  

$$O\left(T_{\epsilon}^{\text{GD}} \cdot \text{cost}(\nabla f)\right) = O\left(\text{nnz}(X) \cdot d\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

$$= O\left(nd^2\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

• SGD is faster than GD (for regularized logistic regression and in the worst case) as long as  $nd^{2}\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right) \geq \frac{d^{2}}{\epsilon}\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)$   $\implies \epsilon \geq \frac{1}{n}$ 

Sounds reasonable, especially in light of best case  $\sim \frac{1}{n}$  generalization bounds

Total GD computational cost  

$$O\left(T_{\epsilon}^{\text{SGD}} \cdot \mathbb{E}\text{cost}(\nabla f_{i})\right) = O\left(\frac{\operatorname{nnz}(X)}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon} \cdot \frac{L^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}\log\left(\frac{H}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$

$$= O\left(\frac{d^{2}}{\epsilon}\log\left(\frac{d}{\epsilon}\right)\right)$$



## Beyond complexity, some thoughts

- The rates of SGD are in expectation.
- High probability bounds possible, e.g., by Markov's inequality on multiple runs of SGD (nobody does that in practice)
- A step of GD is trivially parallelizable, but SGD is inherently serial.
- Minibatches/Shuffling/stepsize selection??
- The generalization performance of these two algorithms is different!
- How about non-convex functions?

### OK what do I do in practice?

#### The SGD quick start guide

Newcomers to stochastic gradient descent often find all of these design choices daunting, and it's useful to have simple rules of thumb to get going. We recommend the following:

- 1. Pick as large a minibatch size as you can given your computer's RAM.
- 2. Set your momentum parameter to either 0 or 0.9. Your call!
- 3. Find the largest constant stepsize such that SGD doesn't diverge. This takes some trial and error, but you only need to be accurate to within a factor of 10 here.
- 4. Run SGD with this constant stepsize until the empirical risk plateaus.
- 5. Reduce the stepsize by a constant factor (say, 10)
- 6. Repeat steps 4 and 5 until you converge.

While this approach may not be the most optimal in all cases, it's a great starting point and is good enough for probably 90% of applications we've encountered.

#### [Recht, Hardt, book 2021]



## SGD/GD on general non convex functions?

Theorem

Let f(w) be a  $\beta$ -smooth function with L-bounded stoch. gradients (i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}_i \|\nabla f_i(w)\| \le L$ ). Then, the gradients of SGD with step-size  $\gamma = \frac{R}{\beta L^2 T}$  satisfy

 $\min_{k \in [T]} \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f($ 

$$(w_k)\|^2 \le 2\sqrt{\frac{R\beta L^2}{T}}$$



## SGD/GD on general non convex functions?

#### Theorem

SGD with step-size  $\gamma = \frac{R}{\beta L^2 T}$  satisfy

$$\begin{split} \min_{k \in [T]} \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f(w_k)\|^2 &\leq 2\sqrt{\frac{R\beta L^2}{T}} \\ f(w_{k+1}) - f(w_k) - \langle \nabla f(w_k), w_{k+1} - w_k \rangle &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|w_k - w_{k+1}\|^2 \\ &\cdot \mathbb{E} f(w_{k+1}) - \mathbb{E} f(w_k) + \gamma \langle \nabla f(w_k), \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k) \rangle &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|\gamma \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k)\|^2 \\ &\cdot \mathbb{E} f(w_{k+1}) - \mathbb{E} f(w_k) + \gamma \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f(w_k)\|^2 &\leq \frac{\beta \gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f_{s_k}(w_k)\|^2 \\ &\cdot \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f(w_k)\|^2 &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E} f(w_{k+1}) - \mathbb{E} f(w_k)}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma L^2 \beta}{2} \\ &\cdot \min_k \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f(w_k)\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f(w_k)\|^2 \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} f(w_1) - f(w_0)}{\gamma T} + \frac{\gamma L^2 \beta}{2T} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \min_{k \in [T]} \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f(w_k)\|^2 &\leq 2\sqrt{\frac{R\beta L^2}{T}} \\ f(w_{k+1}) - f(w_k) - \langle \nabla f(w_k), w_{k+1} - w_k \rangle &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|w_k - w_{k+1}\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} f(w_{k+1}) - \mathbb{E} f(w_k) + \gamma \langle \nabla f(w_k), \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k) \rangle &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|\gamma \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k)\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} f(w_{k+1}) - \mathbb{E} f(w_k) + \gamma \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f(w_k)\|^2 &\leq \frac{\beta\gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f_{s_k}(w_k)\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f(w_k)\|^2 &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E} f(w_{k+1}) - \mathbb{E} f(w_k)}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma L^2 \beta}{2} \\ \Rightarrow \min_k \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f(w_k)\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^T \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f(w_k)\|^2 \leq \frac{\mathbb{E} f(w_1) - f(w_0)}{\gamma T} + \frac{\gamma L^2}{2T} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \min_{k \in [T]} \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f(w_k) \|^2 &\leq 2\sqrt{\frac{R\beta L^2}{T}} \\ f(w_{k+1}) - f(w_k) - \langle \nabla f(w_k), w_{k+1} - w_k \rangle &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \| w_k - w_{k+1} \|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} f(w_{k+1}) - \mathbb{E} f(w_k) + \gamma \langle \nabla f(w_k), \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k) \rangle &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \| \gamma \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k) \|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} f(w_{k+1}) - \mathbb{E} f(w_k) + \gamma \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f(w_k) \|^2 &\leq \frac{\beta \gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k) \|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f(w_k) \|^2 &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E} f(w_{k+1}) - \mathbb{E} f(w_k)}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma L^2 \beta}{2} \\ \Rightarrow \min_k \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f(w_k) \|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^T \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f(w_k) \|^2 &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E} f(w_1) - f(w_0)}{\gamma T} + \frac{\gamma L^2 \beta}{2T} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \min_{k \in [T]} \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f(w_k) \|^2 &\leq 2\sqrt{\frac{R\beta L^2}{T}} \\ f(w_{k+1}) - f(w_k) - \langle \nabla f(w_k), w_{k+1} - w_k \rangle &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \| w_k - w_{k+1} \|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} f(w_{k+1}) - \mathbb{E} f(w_k) + \gamma \langle \nabla f(w_k), \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k) \rangle &\leq \frac{\beta}{2} \| \gamma \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k) \|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} f(w_{k+1}) - \mathbb{E} f(w_k) + \gamma \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f(w_k) \|^2 &\leq \frac{\beta \gamma^2}{2} \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f_{s_k}(w_k) \|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f(w_k) \|^2 &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E} f(w_{k+1}) - \mathbb{E} f(w_k)}{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma L^2 \beta}{2} \\ \Rightarrow \min_k \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f(w_k) \|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^T \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f(w_k) \|^2 &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E} f(w_1) - f(w_0)}{\gamma T} + \frac{\gamma L^2 \beta}{2T} \end{split}$$

 $\kappa = 1$ 

Let f(w) be a  $\beta$ -smooth function with L-bounded stoch gradients (i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}_i \|\nabla f_i(w)\| \leq L$ ). Then, the gradients of



## SGD/GD on general non convex functions?

Theorem

Let f(w) be a  $\beta$ -smooth function with L-bounded stoch. gradients (i.e.,  $\mathbb{E}_i \|\nabla f_i(w)\| \le L$ ). Then, the gradients of SGD with step-size  $\gamma = \frac{R}{\beta L^2 T}$  satisfy

 $\mathbb{E}f(w_{i-1}) - \mathbb{E}f(w_{i}) + \gamma \mathbb{E}\|\nabla f(w_{i})\|^{2} < \frac{\gamma}{2} \mathbb{E}\|\nabla f(w_{i})\|^{2}$ 

 $\min_{k \in [T]} \mathbb{E} \| \nabla f($ 

 $f(w_{k+1}) - f(w_k) - \langle \nabla f(w_k) \rangle$ 

This is a very slow rate, that is very conservative

It also doesn't tell us anything about the quality of the solution that SGD finds

 $\implies \min_{k} \mathbb{E} \|\nabla f(w_{k})\|^{2} \le \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{n}$ 

 $\neg$   $\lor$  k+1

$$\|w_{k}\|^{2} \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{R\beta L^{2}}{T}}$$
  
$$|w_{k+1} - w_{k}\rangle \leq \frac{\beta}{2} \|w_{k} - w_{k+1}\|^{2}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \|\nabla f(w_k)\|^2 \le \frac{\mathbb{E} f(w_1) - f(w_0)}{\gamma T} + \frac{\gamma L^2 \beta}{2T}$$



Next Time: More interesting Nonconvexity

# reading list

Bubeck, S., 2015. Convex Optimization: Algorithms and Complexity. Foundations and Trends® in Machine Learning, 8(3-4), pp.231-357. https://arxiv.org/pdf/1405.4980.pdf

Understanding Machine Learning: From Theory to Algorithms, <u>https://www.cs.huji.ac.il/w~shais/UnderstandingMachineLearning/copy.html</u>

Bottou, L., Curtis, F.E. and Nocedal, J., 2018. Optimization methods for large-scale machine learning. Siam Review, 60(2), pp.223-311. Vancouver <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1606.04838v1.pdf</u>

Hardt, M. and Recht, B., 2021. Patterns, predictions, and actions: A story about machine learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.05242. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2102.05242